Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,116	GRINVALD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine L. Fernandez	3768
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Katherine L. Fernandez</u> .	(3) Sanford Colb.	
(2) <u>Eric Winakur</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 November 2007.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 45 54,66		
Identification of prior art discussed: Flower et al. (US Patent 6,351,663); Taylor '02; Taylor '98.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) ✓ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Applicant discussed differences between proposed commended claims and prior art. Charges were sussested to overcome claims and prior art. Charges were sussested to overcome prior at (i.e. imasing "un-marked" moving erythrocytes). Sussestion was made to consider US Patent No. 5,988,120.		
Applicant discussed differen	ces between proj	sosed ammended
claims and prior art. Changes	were sussested	1, to overcome
paor art (i.e. imasing "un-mar	ked moving ery	throcytes).
Sussestion was made to consi	der US Patent N	a. 5,983,120.
prior art (i.e. Masing attendance morning of missing). Sussestion was made to consider US Patent No. 5,988,120. Sussestion was made to consider ation discussion Applicant will take into further consideration discussion When filing final response.		
area final response.		
when tilling	<i>S</i> 2.	PRIMARY EXALUMEN
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Kall Fe	un
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required